

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| प्रपत्र संख्या/ Form No. NA _____ | शैक्षिक अनुभाग/ Academic Section शोध प्रबंध या परियोजना गतिविधि का पहला चरण/अंतरिम मूल्यांकन (एम.टेक./एम.पी.पी./दोहरी डिग्री (बी.टेक. + एम.टेक.) कार्यक्रम) FIRST STAGE / INTERIM EVALUATION OF THE DISSERTATION OR PROJECT ACTIVITY M Tech./ MPP / Dual Degree (B.Tech. + M.Tech.) Programmes | भारतीय प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान मुंबई Indian Institute of Technology Bombay पवई, मुंबई/ Powai, Mumbai-400076 महाराष्ट्र, भारत/Maharashtra, India. https://www.iitb.ac.in/ |
|--|---|--|

Academic Unit Name:
Date:

| |
|--|
| NAME OF THE STUDENT: ROLL NUMBER: TITLE OF THE DISSERTATION/REPORT: DATE OF EXAMINATION : |
|--|

GRADE¹: AA AB BB BC CC CD DD FR
(please circle clearly the grade awarded AND strike out the others)
EXPLANATIONS/ FURTHER REMARKS/ SUGGESTIONS², may be included on the reverse of this form.

NAMES AND SIGNATURES OF PANEL MEMBERS:

| PANEL MEMBER | NAME, DEPARTMENT/ ORGANIZATION | SIGNATURE WITH DATE |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
| Supervisor | | |
| Co-Supervisor(s) | | |
| Internal Examiner | | |

¹ The grades from AA to DD are Pass Grades. If the student is not awarded one of these, then the student is deemed to have failed in this examination and is given an FR. The student, having incorporated the suggestions and observations of the panel, can ask to be re-evaluated after ONE MONTH. The student can pass this re-evaluation with a DD grade. Should the student fail, re-registration for this Stage is required.

² The above report, in ORIGINAL, must be forwarded to the Academic Section. It is recommended that a copy of this evaluation report be made available to the student, for his/ her record and necessary action. It is also recommended that the supervisor(s) retain a copy until the student graduates.

| | | |
|--|---|---|
| प्रपत्र संख्या/ Form No. NA _____ | शैक्षिक अनुभाग/ Academic Section M Tech./ MPP/ Dual Degree (B.Tech. + M.Tech.) Programmes | भारतीय प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान मुंबई Indian Institute of Technology Bombay पवई, मुंबई/ Powai, Mumbai-400076 महाराष्ट्र, भारत/Maharashtra, India. https://www.iitb.ac.in/ |
|--|---|---|

FINAL STAGE EVALUATION OF DISSERTATION OR PROJECT ACTIVITY

PROCEDURE AND GUIDELINES:

1. For the Final Stage Evaluation of the Dissertation or Project Activity carried out in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the Degrees of Master of Technology (M. Tech.), Masters in Public Policy (MPP.) and Dual Degree (B. Tech. + M. Tech.) of the Institute, the form titled *Final Stage Evaluation of Dissertation or Project Activity – Evaluation Panel Selection* providing the examination panel information, duly approved by the PGC must be completed and approved by the Convenor, PGC. This completed form must be included with the evaluation report.
2. The form titled *Final Stage Evaluation of Dissertation or Project Activity – Evaluation by the Supervisor(s)* is to be completed during the Final Stage Examination⁴. If the panel contains a supervisor and co-supervisor(s), this form may be completed either **individually** or **jointly** by the supervisors. Additional copies of this form may be made at the departmental offices.
3. The form titled *Final Stage Evaluation of Dissertation or Project Activity – Evaluation by the Examiners* is to be completed by the examiners **individually**⁴. **Two examiners** are to be included on the panel, with either one external examiner and one internal examiner, or two internal examiners. Two copies of the form are included, one for each examiner.
4. The Project Supervisor(s) and Examiners shall award grades based on their individual evaluation and return the completed forms to the Chairperson of the Final Stage Evaluation Panel. The Chairperson is requested to discuss the grades awarded with the panel members, and arrive by consensus on a **final grade** to be awarded to the student.³
5. A blank page has been provided for any **suggestions** from the panel to improve this evaluation process. Comments/ suggestions/ observations from the panel in this regard will always be appreciated, and efforts will be made to consolidate the suggestions and implement them.
6. The complete ORIGINAL evaluation report is to be forwarded to the Academic Section. It is recommended that a copy of the consolidated evaluation report be made available to the student, for his/ her record. It is also recommended that the supervisor(s) retain a copy of this report, until the student graduates.

³ Should the final grade awarded lie outside the closed range [Minimum Individual Grade Awarded, Maximum Individual Grade Awarded] an explanation may kindly be provided.

⁴ The detailed items of evaluation in Part A and Part B of the forms for the supervisor(s) and examiners, are meant to facilitate arriving at a conclusion on the individual grade to be awarded. There is **no** pre-specified means of conversion from the points awarded in these items to the individual grade awarded. The manner in which the supervisor(s) or examiners arrive at their individual grades is left to their discretion. However, it is requested that these detailed items be completed, to enable discussion with the Chairperson after the award of individual grades.

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| प्रपत्र संख्या/ Form No. NA | शैक्षिक अनुभाग/ Academic Section निबंध या परियोजना का अंतिम चरण का मूल्यांकन गतिविधि - समेकित मूल्यांकन रिपोर्ट (एम.टेक./एम.पी.पी./दोहरी डिग्री (बी.टेक. + एम.टेक.) कार्यक्रम) FINAL STAGE EVALUATION OF DISSERTATION OR PROJECT ACTIVITY - CONSOLIDATED EVALUATION REPORT M Tech./ MPP/ Dual Degree (B.Tech. + M.Tech.) Programme | भारतीय प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान मुंबई Indian Institute of Technology Bombay पवई, मुंबई/ Powai, Mumbai-400076 महाराष्ट्र, भारत/Maharashtra, India. https://www.iitb.ac.in/ |
|---|--|--|

NAME OF THE STUDENT:

ROLL NUMBER:

TITLE OF THE DISSERTATION/REPORT:

DATE OF EXAMINATION :

GRADE⁵: AA AB BB BC CC CD DD FR

(please circle clearly the grade awarded AND strike out the others)

EXPLANATIONS/ FURTHER REMARKS/ SUGGESTIONS⁶, may be included on the reverse of this form.

NAMES AND SIGNATURES OF PANEL MEMBERS:

| PANEL MEMBER | NAME, DEPARTMENT/ ORGANIZATION | SIGNATURE WITH DATE |
|-------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| Chairperson | | |
| Supervisor | | |
| Co-Supervisor(s) | | |
| Examiner I | | |
| Examiner II | | |

⁵ The grades from AA to DD are Pass Grades. If the student is not awarded one of these, then the student is deemed to have failed in this examination and is given an FR. The student, having incorporated the suggestions and observations of the panel, can ask to be re-evaluated after ONE MONTH. The student can pass this re-evaluation with a DD grade. Should the student fail, re-registration for this Stage is required.

It is recommended that a copy of this evaluation report be made available to the student, for his/ her record and necessary action. It is also recommended that the supervisor(s) retain a copy until the student graduates.

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| प्रपत्र संख्या/ Form No. NA _____ | शैक्षिक अनुभाग/ Academic Section निबंध या परियोजना का अंतिम चरण का मूल्यांकन गतिविधि - पर्यवेक्षकों द्वारा मूल्यांकन FINAL STAGE EVALUATION OF DISSERTATION OR PROJECT ACTIVITY - EVALUATION BY THE SUPERVISOR(S) M Tech./ MPP/ Dual Degree (B.Tech. + M.Tech.) Programmes | भारतीय प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान मुंबई Indian Institute of Technology Bombay पवई, मुंबई/ Powai, Mumbai-400076 महाराष्ट्र, भारत/Maharashtra, India. https://www.iitb.ac.in/ |
|--|--|---|

NAME OF THE STUDENT: _____

ROLL NUMBER: _____

DEPARTMENT/ SCHOOL/ IDP GROUP/ CENTRE: _____

I. Assessment:

Part A (to be completed before the oral presentation begins)

| S. No. | Assessment criterion | Marks (on 10) | Remark(s) |
|--------|---|---------------|-----------|
| 1. | Critical understanding of the research area | | |
| 2. | Clarity and completeness of problem formulation/experiment design | | |
| 3. | Extent of independent work | | |
| 4. | Initiative and diligence demonstrated | | |
| 5. | Quality of work done | | |
| 6. | Quantity of work done | | |
| 7. | Analysis, interpretation and validity of results | | |
| 8. | Quality of the report submitted | | |

Part B (to be completed after end of the viva-voce examination)

| S. No. | Assessment criterion | Marks (on 10) | Remarks |
|--------|---|---------------|---------|
| 9. | Presentation: clarity, structure, tools used. | | |
| 10. | Answers to questions – analysis, depth of understanding of problem/ conclusions/ inference. | | |

II. Recommendations: The dissertation submitted by the candidate is: (please choose **ONE**)

(a) **Acceptable** and may be regarded as final in its present form

(b) **Acceptable with minor revisions.** The revisions and modifications have been indicated to the student during the examination and / or outlined in Item III below.

(c) **Not acceptable** in its present form and, as outlined in Item III below, needs **major revision.** The modifications must be incorporated by the student in the dissertation and the same must be certified by the supervisor(s) and at least one examiner before the final submission.

III. Remarks (mandatory for recommendation (c); please use the reverse side of this form or attach separate sheets)

Suggested grade: AA AB BB BC CC CD DD FR

(please circle clearly the grade awarded AND strike out the others)

Name of the Supervisor: ____

Co-Supervisor: _____

Signature(s) with date:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| प्रपत्र संख्या/ Form No. NA _____ | शैक्षिक अनुभाग/ Academic Section निबंध या परियोजना का अंतिम चरण का मूल्यांकन गतिविधि - परीक्षक द्वारा मूल्यांकन FINAL STAGE EVALUATION OF DISSERTATION OR PROJECT ACTIVITY EVALUATION BY THE EXAMINER M Tech./ MPP/ Dual Degree (B.Tech. + M.Tech.) Programmes | भारतीय प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान मुंबई Indian Institute of Technology Bombay पवई, मुंबई/ Powai, Mumbai-400076 महाराष्ट्र, भारत/Maharashtra, India. https://www.iitb.ac.in/ |
|---|---|---|

NAME OF THE STUDENT: _____

ROLL NUMBER: _____

DEPARTMENT/ SCHOOL/ IDP GROUP/ CENTRE: _____

I. Assessment

Part A (to be completed before the oral presentation begins)

| S. No. | Assessment criterion | Marks (on 10) | Remark(s) |
|--------|---|---------------|-----------|
| 1. | Critical understanding of the research area | | |
| 2. | Clarity and completeness of problem formulation/experiment design | | |
| 3. | Quality of work done | | |
| 4. | Analysis, interpretation and validity of results | | |
| 5. | Quality of the report submitted | | |

Part B (to be completed after end of the viva-voce examination)

| S. No. | Assessment criterion | Marks (on 10) | Remarks |
|--------|---|---------------|---------|
| 6. | Presentation: clarity, structure, tools used. | | |
| 7. | Answers to questions – analysis, depth of understanding of problem/ conclusions/ inference. | | |

II. **Recommendations:** The dissertation submitted by the candidate is: (please choose **ONE**)

(a) **Acceptable** and may be regarded as final in its present form

(b) **Acceptable with minor revisions.** The revisions and modifications have been indicated to the student during the examination and / or outlined in Item III below.

(c) **Not acceptable** in its present form and, as outlined in Item III below, needs **major revision.** The modifications must be incorporated by the student in the dissertation and the same must be certified by the supervisor(s) and at least one examiner before the final submission.

III. **Remarks** (mandatory for recommendation (c); please use the reverse side of this form or attach separate sheets)

Suggested grade: AA AB BB BC CC DD FR

(please circle clearly the grade awarded AND strike out the others)

Name of the Examiner: _____

Signature of the Examiner with date

**SUGGESTIONS (IF ANY) REGARDING THE EVALUATION PROCESS
AND/ OR FORMS:**